Repeatability of Layered Corneal Pachymetry With the Artemis Very High-frequency Digital Ultrasound Arc-Scanner
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To assess the three-dimensional repeatability of thickness measurements for epithelium, stroma, cornea, flap, and residual stromal bed using the Artemis very high-frequency (VHF) digital ultrasound arc-scanner (ArcScan Inc).

METHODS: Five consecutive measurements were obtained for 10 eyes of 10 patients 1 year after LASIK using the Artemis VHF digital ultrasound arc-scanner across the central 10-mm diameter of the cornea. Repeatability analysis was performed for thickness measurements for each corneal layer—epithelium, stroma, cornea, flap, and residual stromal bed. The standard deviation of repeated measurements (point-repeatability) was calculated for each measurement location in 0.1-mm steps for the 10×10-mm matrix. The pooled standard deviation of the point-repeatability for each measurement location within the central 1-, 2-, and 3-mm radius was calculated (region-repeatability). The corneal thickness of the baseline scan set was compared to that of subsequent scan sets within the same session and plotted over time to assess any possible hydration effects of the immersion technique.

RESULTS: The repeatability at the corneal vertex was 0.58 µm for epithelium, 1.78 µm for stroma, 1.68 µm for cornea, 1.68 µm for flap, and 2.27 µm for residual stromal bed. The region-repeatability within the central 1-mm radius was 1.01 µm for epithelium, 3.44 µm for stroma, 3.35 µm for cornea, 2.81 µm for flap, and 3.97 µm for residual stromal bed. The mean difference in corneal thickness from the baseline value was within 1.25 µm for each of the subsequent four scan sets over a 5-minute immersion period.

CONCLUSIONS: Layered pachymetry of the epithelium, stroma, cornea, flap, and residual stromal bed showed high repeatability with the Artemis VHF digital ultrasound arc-scanner. The high repeatability validates the use of the Artemis for in vivo layered pachymetry. [J Refract Surg. 2010;26(9):646-659.]
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...ative analysis of corneal scarring (haze) after photorefractive keratectomy (PRK), the measurement of the depth of radial keratotomy incisions, the measurement of the depth of keratectomy, and epithelial and stromal changes after lamellar corneal surgery.

Very high-frequency digital ultrasound technology has gradually improved both in precision and in area of acquisition. The other major improvement, in 1997, was introducing an arc-scan motion so that the transducer was kept near perpendicular to the corneal surface. This system increased the corneal acquisition area to a 10-mm zone. The repeatability of 10 consecutive examinations for 1 eye has been shown to be less than 1.3 µm within an 8-mm diameter, with a central repeatability of 0.5 µm for epithelial thickness and less than 8.0 µm within an 8-mm diameter, with a central repeatability of 1.5 µm for corneal thickness. This system was used to investigate postoperative refractive surgery complications, and epithelial and stromal changes induced by Intacs (Addition Technology, Des Moines, Ill), evaluate excimer laser ablation depth and residual stromal thickness after LASIK, and to measure flap thickness reproducibility.

From the prototype arc-scanner, a commercially approved prototype, referred to as the Artemis 1, was developed in 1999 where the major improvement was to invert the scanning system so that the patient could sit upright, which was more practical than the supine position. The Artemis 1 has been used to measure flap thickness reproducibility, provide diagnoses for optical complications after corneal refractive surgery, demonstrate epithelial changes after myopic LASIK, hyperopic LASIK, and orthokeratology; investigate the sizing issues related to phakic intraocular lenses (IOLs); measurement of stromal change to evaluate excimer laser ablation depth; describe the epithelial thickness and stromal thickness in a normal population; describe corneal, epithelial, and stromal thickness in a keratoconic population; and using epithelial thickness profiles to screen for keratoconus. The Artemis 1 prototype was used for the present study.

Following the Artemis 1 commercial prototype, further changes were made to develop a more compact model called the Artemis 2 (ArcScan Inc, Morrison, Colo), which has been used by a number of investigators for scientific studies. The main difference is that Artemis 1 uses a polyvinylidifluoride (PVDF) transducer whereas Artemis 2 uses a lithium niobate transducer (which is more sensitive). Both PVDF and lithium niobate probes produce the same accuracy of measurement, as accuracy varies according to frequency and bandwidth, not sensitivity. The digital signal processing technology and the arc described for anterior segment as well as corneal scanning are identical for both instruments.

The Artemis 2 was discontinued while development of a novel three-mode (rectilinear, telecentric, and sector) VHF digital ultrasound scanner (Artemis 3) is completed. The Artemis 3 will have all the functionality of the Artemis 1 for corneal scanning and biometry, but in addition will be able to scan and delineate the entire circumference of the human capsule around the lens. By measuring the capsular bag, it may be able to better determine the effective lens position of an IOL in cataract surgery and provide the possibility of haptic sizing for accommodative IOLs, which should increase the accuracy of the refractive result and decrease the necessity to use excimer laser corneal procedures after cataract surgery.

The purpose of this study was to report the repeatability of thickness measurements of the cornea, epithelium, stroma, and residual stromal bed with the Artemis 1 VHF digital ultrasound arc-scanner.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

PATIENT POPULATION

This was a prospective study including 10 patients chosen at random from a subset of another larger study investigating the accuracy of flap thickness with the VisuMax femtosecond laser (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany). The inclusion criteria for the parent study were volunteer patients suitable for LASIK with a myopic spherical equivalent refraction up to −6.50 diopters. Myopic LASIK was performed by one of the authors (D.Z.R.) at the London Vision Clinic, London, United Kingdom, with the MEL 80 excimer laser (Carl Zeiss Meditec) and the VisuMax femtosecond laser (Carl Zeiss Meditec).

PROCEDURE

Each patient was scanned with the Artemis VHF digital ultrasound arc-scanner, as described below, 1 year after LASIK. All patients were scanned by an expert user (D.Z.R.) and the scans were analyzed by a trained and experienced observer (T.J.A.). To test the repeatability of layered pachymetry in vivo, five three-dimensional scan sets were obtained consecutively for the left eye of each patient. The patient was asked to look away from the fixation light between each of the five scan sets. Informed consent was obtained from each patient and the study was performed in accordance with an institutional review board–approved protocol.
ARTEMIS VHF DIGITAL ULTRASOUND
ARC-SCANNING

SCANNING SYSTEM AND PROCEDURE

Artemis VHF digital ultrasound is carried out using an ultrasonic standoff medium, and so provides the advantages of immersion scanning (e.g., the tear film is not incorporated into the corneal or epithelial thickness measurement, and there is no physical contact of the transducer with the cornea). The patient sits and positions the chin and forehead into a headrest while placing the eye in a soft rimmed eye-cup. Warm, sterile, normal saline (33°C) is filled into the darkened scanning chamber. The patient fixates on a narrowly focused aiming beam, which is coaxial with the infrared camera, the corneal vertex, and the center of rotation of the scanning system. The technician adjusts the center of rotation of the system until it is coaxial with the corneal vertex. In this manner, the position of each scan plane is maintained about a single point on the cornea and corneal mapping is therefore centered on the corneal vertex. A speculum is not required as patients find it comfortable to open the eye without blinking in the warm saline bath and voluntary elevation of the upper lid produces exposure of the central 10 mm of cornea in virtually all patients.

A broadband, 50-MHz, VHF-focused ultrasound transducer (bandwidth approximately 10 to 60 MHz) is swept in an arc such that the adjustable radius of curvature at the transducer focal plane matches that of any of the different curvatures within the globe (i.e., cornea, iris plane, and retina) using a patented scan-arc adjustment mechanism to enable maximum perpendicularity (and signal-to-noise ratio) to be obtained. Each scan sweep takes approximately 0.25 seconds and consists of 128 scan lines or pulse-echo vectors. For three-dimensional scan sets, the scan sequence consisted of 4 meridional scans at 45° intervals. During the acquisition of each scan, data were converted (in near real-time) to a B-scan displayed on the control-computer screen. Each B-scan reveals information regarding centration, ranging, and eye movements that may have occurred during the scan sweep. The examiner either accepted or chose to repeat a particular meridional sweep before proceeding to the next. Performing a three-dimensional scan set with the Artemis 1 takes approximately 2 to 3 minutes per eye.

DATA ANALYSIS

Ultrasound data are digitized and stored for subsequent processing to B-scan images for visualization and I-scan traces for biometry using digital signal processing technology and software developed by our group at Weill-Cornell Medical College, New York, New York. Digital signal processing (deconvolution and determination of the signal envelope by analytic signal magnitude detection) to I-scans was used to detect corneal tissue interfaces along each pulse-echo vector. The time-based distances between I-scan peaks were converted to microns using the speed of sound constant for cornea of 1640 m/s. The resolution of the system is 21 µm, meaning that distinct echo-peaks can be seen on the I-scan for a corneal layer thicker than 21 µm.

Interfaces between tissues are detected at the location of the maximum change in acoustic impedance (the product of the density and the speed of sound). It was first demonstrated in 1993 that acoustic interfaces detected in the cornea were located spatially at the epithelial surface and at the interface between epithelial cells and the anterior surface of Bowman’s layer. The posterior boundary of the stroma with VHF digital ultrasound is located at the interface between the endothelium and the aqueous, as this is the location of the maximum change in acoustic impedance. Therefore, stromal thickness with VHF digital ultrasound is measured from the front surface of Bowman’s layer to the back surface of the endothelium. Each of the four meridional B-scans were analyzed by an interactive, semi-automatic expert system to locate the interface peaks for the anterior corneal surface, Bowman’s interface, the flap interface, and the posterior corneal surface along each scan line within the B-scan. The interface peaks found automatically by the expert system were double-checked manually and changes were made by the observer in places where the incorrect peak had been identified. The positional localization of each peak was hence achieved in a three-dimensional coordinate system according to the meridian of the B-scan, the scan line within the B-scan, and the axial (radial) location of the peak within the B-scan. Surface point coordinates from all interfaces were stored in matrix format and used for reconstruction of the acoustic interfaces in three-dimension. The thickness of each layer was then derived from the distance between surfaces in the radial direction (perpendicular to the back surface of the cornea). A linear polar/radial interpolation function was used to interpolate between scan meridians to produce a Cartesian matrix over a 10-mm diameter in 0.1-mm steps. The interpolation function also includes auto-correlation of back surface curvatures to center and align the meridional scans. This is our standard scanning protocol as it provides sufficiently high density of information in the central cornea with lower density of information in the periphery where it is less needed.
The following repeatability analysis was performed for each corneal layer including the full cornea, epithelium, stroma, flap, and residual stromal bed.

**WITHIN-EYE POINT-REPEATABILITY**

The standard deviation of the five repeated measurements for each eye was calculated for every 0.1-mm step within the Cartesian matrix to represent the point-repeatability for each eye. The pooled standard deviation (square root of the mean variance) for the 10 eyes was calculated for each 0.1-mm step within the Cartesian matrix to represent the within-eye point-repeatability for the population \( \sigma_w^2 \). The within-eye point-repeatability and range of point-repeatability at the corneal vertex \([0, 0]\) coordinate was reported. The coefficient of repeatability represents the range within which 95% of repeated measurements will be, calculated as \( 1.96 \times \sigma_w \). The coefficient of variation is calculated as the ratio of the within-eye point-repeatability and the mean of the repeated measurements. The coefficient of repeatability and coefficient of variation were calculated for the within-eye point-repeatability at the corneal vertex. The intraclass correlation coefficient was calculated for thicknesses at the corneal vertex. The within-eye point-repeatability was plotted for the 10-mm diameter using surface fill plots \( \chi, \gamma, \zeta \) to display the data on a color scale (DeltaGraph v5.0; SPSS Inc, Richmond, California). A Cartesian 1-mm grid was superimposed with the origin centered at the corneal vertex.

**WITHIN-EYE REGION-REPEATABILITY**

The pooled standard deviation of the point-repeatability data within the central 1-, 2-, and 3-mm radius was calculated to represent the region-repeatability for each eye. The pooled standard deviation of the region-repeatability for the 10 eyes was calculated for the central 1-, 2-, and 3-mm radius to represent the within-eye region-repeatability for the population. The within-eye region-repeatability and range of region-repeatability was reported.

**MINIMUM THICKNESS WITHIN-EYE REPEATABILITY**

The minimum corneal thickness and residual stromal bed thickness was found for each of the 5 repeated scan sets for each eye. The standard deviation was calculated for each eye to represent the repeatability of the minimum corneal thickness and residual stromal bed. The pooled standard deviation for the 10 eyes was calculated to represent the within-eye repeatability for the minimum corneal thickness and residual stromal bed. The within-eye repeatability and range of repeatability were reported for the minimum corneal thickness and residual stromal bed.

**LONGITUDINAL ANALYSIS**

Artemis VHF digital ultrasound is performed with the eye immersed in normal saline at 33°C; therefore, each eye in the present study required immersion for the time taken to obtain five scan sets. Hydration changes within the cornea while the eye is immersed could potentially change causes in corneal layer thickness. Corneal tonicity could vary and theoretically some corneas may swell, whereas others may deturgess. To also investigate the effect of immersion on corneal pachymetric scanning, the minimum corneal thickness was analyzed longitudinally for the five consecutive scan sets. The minimum corneal thickness of the first scan set was taken as the baseline measurement. The minimum corneal thicknesses of the subsequent four scan sets were adjusted by subtracting the baseline measurement to find the difference from the baseline measurement. A positive difference would represent corneal thickening whereas a negative difference would represent corneal thinning. The timestamp from the creation of the data file was used to measure the time taken for each scan set.

Descriptive statistics, comparative statistics, and linear regression analysis were performed in Microsoft Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, Washington).

**RESULTS**

Ten eyes of 10 patients were included in the study. The population mean age was 31.6±7.5 years, median 30.0 years, ranging from 23.9 to 52.1 years. The median time point at which Artemis scans were obtained was 11.9 months after LASIK, ranging from 9.8 to 17.0 months with eight patients scanned between 11.7 and 13.2 months after LASIK.

Figure 1 demonstrates the raw (non-geometrically corrected) B-scan of the left cornea of patient 4 produced from the scan data 12 months after LASIK. The cornea appears relatively flat or straight from top to bottom of the image, indicating that the trajectory followed by the scanning arc of the transducer was nearly conformal to the corneal surface. Superimposed on the B-scan image is the I-scan trace derived by analysis of the digitized radiofrequency ultrasonic data, corresponding to the scan line indicated on the B-scan (horizontal line radial to the corneal cross-section). The peaks of the I-scan correspond to acoustic interfaces along the vector through the corneal B-scan image centrally. Figure 2 shows the geometrically corrected B-scan image of the same cornea as well as a red line trace of the interfaces detected using digital signal processing and automated analysis of the I-scan trace.
Table 1 shows the average and range of the point-repeatability, the coefficient of repeatability, coefficient of variation, intraclass correlation coefficient, and the average and range of region-repeatability for each layer including the cornea, epithelium, stroma, flap, and residual stromal bed as well as the minimum thickness repeatability for the cornea and residual stromal bed. Figure 3 shows the average point-repeatability plotted for the 10-mm diameter for the epithelium, stroma, cornea, flap, and residual stromal bed.

**EPITHELIUM**

The repeatability of epithelial thickness at the corneal vertex was 0.58 µm. The repeatability of epithelial thickness was reasonably similar across the whole cornea with a repeatability between 0.43 and 1.36 µm in 90% of locations within the central 6-mm diameter.

**STROMA, CORNEA, AND RESIDUAL STROMAL THICKNESS**

At the corneal vertex, the repeatability was 1.78 µm for stromal thickness, 1.68 µm for corneal thickness, and 2.27 µm for residual stromal thickness. The repeatability of stromal, corneal, and residual stromal thickness was highest centrally and progressively worse at greater radius from the corneal vertex. The repeatability of stromal, corneal, and residual stromal thickness was higher in a horizontal band within 1 mm above and below the horizontal meridian through the corneal vertex. The lowest stromal, corneal, and residual stromal thickness repeatability was found in the superior and inferior regions. The repeatability of stromal thick-
Repeatability of corneal pachymetry was between 1.60 and 4.55 µm in 90% of locations within the central 2-mm diameter and between 1.60 and 6.70 µm in 90% of locations within the central 4-mm diameter. The repeatability of corneal thickness was between 1.53 and 4.40 µm in 90% of locations within the central 2-mm diameter and between 1.53 and 6.60 µm in 90% of locations within the central 4-mm diameter. The repeatability of residual stromal thickness was between 2.14 and 5.03 µm in 90% of locations within the central 2-mm diameter and between 2.14 and 6.90 µm in 90% of locations within the central 4-mm diameter. The highest repeatability for stromal, corneal, and residual stromal thickness was found in between each of the four scanned meridians at 45° intervals where the data had been interpolated between scan meridians.

**FLAP**

The repeatability of flap thickness at the corneal vertex was 1.68 µm. The repeatability of flap thickness was similar across the whole cornea with a repeatability between 1.50 and 3.90 µm in 90% of locations within the central 6-mm diameter.

**LONGITUDINAL ANALYSIS**

The first scan set for each eye was obtained within...
the first minute of immersion. Each subsequent scan set was acquired within the next minute, meaning that the eye had been immersed for 5 minutes once the fifth scan set had been acquired. The mean difference between the minimum corneal thickness of the first scan set and each of the four subsequent scan sets is plotted in Figure 4. No statistically significant difference was noted in minimum corneal thickness between the first scan set and the second, third, or fourth scan sets. The second scan set was on average 0.7 µm thinner than the first scan set ($P = .068$). The third scan set was on average 0.6 µm thinner than the first scan set ($P = .336$). The fourth scan set was on average 0.4 µm thinner than the first scan set ($P = .533$). The fifth scan set was on average 1.2 µm thicker than the first scan set, which was a statistically significant difference ($P = .034$).

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown very high repeatability in the range of 0.58 to 2.27 µm of three-dimensional wide-area pachymetry using the Artemis VHF digital ultrasound arc-scanner for the epithelium, stroma, cornea, flap, and residual stromal bed.

Accurate and repeatable corneal thickness measurements are important for the safe practice of corneal refractive surgery as well as for other applications such as the management of conditions including keratoconus, glaucoma, Fuchs’ dystrophy, and others. There is a wide range of commercially available instruments capable of measuring corneal thickness, whereas optical slit-scanning pachymetry, Scheimpflug, and optical coherence tomography (OCT) also offer corneal thickness maps across the cornea. For comparison, a literature search was performed in Medline on December 11, 2008, using the keywords “repeatability cornea pachymetry.” Studies were included if the standard deviation of repeated measurements was reported or could be derived from the reported values. Table 2 provides the repeatability of central corneal thickness measurements for all currently published studies listed in ascending order of repeatability. For each study, the standard deviation of repeated measurements, the coefficient of repeatability ($1.96 \times \sigma^2$) and the coefficient of variation ($\sigma^2/\text{mean}$) is reported either directly from the manuscript or calculated from the reported results. One study reported the repeatability of corneal thickness measurements with the Visante OCT and the Artemis 2 VHF digital ultrasound scanner. However, we excluded the results of this study from the table because of the unrealistic, perfect repeatability of 0.00 µm reported for the Visante. The repeatability of corneal thickness with the Artemis 2 was reported to be 2.22 µm.

Accuracy of corneal thickness measurements was not performed in this study because we did not have access to an in vivo equivalent corneal phantom of known thickness. However, we previously published an error analysis on VHF digital ultrasound measurements. The potential error of VHF digital ultrasound measurements is based on the known speed of sound in cornea (1640 m/s) and the maximum theoretical limits of this speed of sound. Given that saline possesses a speed of sound of 1532 m/s and that our group has demonstrated a lower limit for the speed of sound of 1616 m/s in ex vivo bovine corneas, it is unlikely that the human cornea could possess a speed of sound of less than 1610 m/s at the lower extreme. Given that cartilage possesses a speed of sound of approximately 1668 m/s (at 50 MHz; extrapolated from 1568 m/s at 25 MHz), it is unlikely that the epithelium could possess a speed of sound of more than 1670 m/s at the upper extreme. Given these range limits for the speed of sound, a theoretical error analysis predicts an absolute maximum potential error of 1.8% for the accuracy of VHF digital ultrasound measurements.

Artemis VHF digital ultrasound corneal thickness measurements are obtained with the eye immersed in normal saline at 33°C. Any changes in hydration of the cornea during immersion could potentially introduce error into the measurement. The present study found that the minimum corneal thickness did not change for the first 4 minutes after immersion, and that by 5 minutes there was a 1.2-µm change (see Fig 4). Scan sets obtained within the first 4 minutes of immersion were all similar to the baseline measurement. At 5 minutes
after immersion, the fifth scan set was found to be 1.2 µm thicker than baseline. This result suggests that there might be approximately 1 µm of corneal edema induced by immersion in normal saline at 33°C after 5 minutes.

During routine scanning, Artemis three-dimensional corneal scan data are obtained within 3 minutes of immersion, thus we can expect thickness data to be true and accurate. Further study is required to assess corneal thickness changes over a longer immersion period to confirm whether the result of the fifth scan set is due to corneal hydration and determine what thickness changes there might be over a longer immersion period.

Repeatable epithelial thickness measurements are useful for a wide variety of applications including measuring epithelial thickness profile changes after refractive surgery, diagnosing optical complications due to an irregular stromal surface, and determining the minimum possible flap thickness to use for thin-flap LASIK to avoid a buttonhole. This is particularly useful when considering the possibility of creating a flap in an eye that has previously had PRK where the epithelium might have thickened to compensate for the tissue removed. We recently described the potential for using epithelial thickness profiles to screen for early keratoconus based on micronic changes in the epithelial thickness profile characteristic of compensation for an underlying stromal surface cone. The repeatability of epithelial thickness measurement for an underlying stromal surface cone was previously reported at the 0.35 mm standard deviation level with a coefficient of variation of 3.56%. Therefore, Artemis VHF digital ultrasound can be used to detect changes in epithelial thickness in the order of 1 µm, so it can be used to detect changes in epithelial thickness in the order of 1 µm, so it can be used to detect changes in epithelial thickness in the order of 1 µm.

### Table 2: Repeatability of Central Corneal Thickness Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ (µm)</th>
<th>COR (µm)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinstein et al (2009)</td>
<td>Artemis 1</td>
<td>VHF digital ultrasound</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkana et al (2005)</td>
<td>OLCR</td>
<td>Low-coherence reflectometry</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed et al (2007)</td>
<td>Visante</td>
<td>Optical coherence tomography</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spadea et al (2007)</td>
<td>OLCR</td>
<td>Low-coherence reflectometry</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackner et al (2005)</td>
<td>SP-2000</td>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fam et al (2005)</td>
<td>Orbscan II</td>
<td>Slit-scanning tomography</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki et al (2003)</td>
<td>SP-2000P</td>
<td>Non-contact specular microscopy</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wirbelauer et al (2004)</td>
<td>Pocket Pachymeter</td>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscat et al (2002)</td>
<td>Humphrey Zeiss OCT</td>
<td>Optical coherence tomography</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkana et al (2005)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al (2008)</td>
<td>Corne-o-gage Plus</td>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al (2007)</td>
<td>SP-2000P</td>
<td>Non-contact specular microscopy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al (2007)</td>
<td>SP-3000</td>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonuschet &amp; Doughetty (2007)</td>
<td>Orbscan II</td>
<td>Slit-scanning tomography</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonuschet &amp; Doughetty (2007)</td>
<td>Echopach Pachymeter</td>
<td>3M Ultrasound</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values printed in light gray are derived from other reported values. $n =$ number of eyes included in the study, $m =$ number of repeated measurements, $\sigma^2 =$ standard deviation (SD) of $m$ repeated measurements, COR = coefficient of repeatability (1.96 * SD), CV = coefficient of variation (ratio of SD over the mean of the measurements).

*OCPOnline, SL-OCT (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany); Corne-o-gage Plus (Sonogage Inc, Cleveland, Ohio); Artemis 1 (ArcScan Inc, Morrison, Colorado); SP-3000, SP-2000 (Tomey Ltd, Nagoya, Japan); Visante, Humphrey Zeiss OCT, ACMaster (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany); SP-2000P (Topcon Ltd, Tokyo, Japan); OLCR (Haag Streit, Bern, Switzerland); Orbscan, Orbscan II (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, New York); Echopach Pachymeter 3M (Phakosystems, Toronto, Canada); Pentacam (Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany); Pocket Pachymeter (Quantel Medical, Olomont-Renard, France)
“repeatability epithelium cornea.” The epithelium can be imaged by OCT \(^{64,69}\) and confocal microscopy \(^{70-72}\); however, only two previous studies were identified where the repeatability of epithelial thickness measurements had been performed and the results of these studies are shown in Table 3.

The B-scan image presented in Figure 1 demonstrates the clarity and signal amplitude of the LASIK flap interface along its entire length in an Artemis scan 1 year after surgery. The I-scan trace demonstrates that this signal amplitude translates into a well-defined peak resulting in the high flap thickness repeatability of 1.68 µm reported here. The clarity and signal amplitude of the flap interface in the early postoperative period is greater, so one would expect the repeatability of flap thickness to be even higher for Artemis measurements obtained at an earlier time point. Although the clarity and signal amplitude diminishes over time, meaning that the peak on the I-scan trace becomes smaller, rounder, and less well-defined, the flap can often still be identified along its entire length with confidence for as long as a decade after LASIK. Figure 5 shows an example of an Artemis B-scan obtained for a patient 9 years after LASIK with an Automated Corneal Shaper microkeratome (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, NY).

The flap interface can also be imaged using OCT \(^{64,69,73-79}\) and confocal microscopy \(^{80-83}\); however, a Medline search on December 11, 2008, using the keywords “repeatability flap LASIK” revealed only 2 published studies that reported the repeatability of flap thickness measurements. Using a prototype version of the Visante OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec) and a computer automated algorithm to analyze the flap thickness from the analytic signal for scans, the flap thickness was measured 3 times in 36 eyes treated with the Hansatome microkeratome (Bausch & Lomb, Salt Lake City, Utah) and 15 eyes treated with the IntraLase femtosecond laser (Abbott Medical Optics, Irvine, California).\(^{74}\) The measurements were obtained 1 week after LASIK. The repeat-
ability of central measurements (within 2-mm diameter) was 5.6 µm for the Hansatome group and 4.8 µm for the IntraLase group. The repeatability for peri-central measurements (2- to 5-mm diameter) was 3.0 µm for the Hansatome group and 2.1 µm for the IntraLase group. Using the Visante OCT, two repeated measurements were obtained each for two observers at 1-month postoperative follow-up of flaps created using a Hansatome XP microkeratome (Bausch & Lomb). The standard deviation of repeated measurements was not reported; however, the standard deviation of the difference between the two measurements was 8.11 µm and 8.59 µm for the two observers. This translates to a maximum difference of approximately 23.8 µm (99% of values will lie within 2.77 standard deviations) between two repeated flap thickness measurements. In comparison, the central repeatability of flap thickness measurements of 1.68 µm reported in the present study for the Artemis translates to a maximum difference of 4.7 µm between two flap thickness measurements. The flap thickness repeatability is also reported in the Visante OCT User Manual for a study where three repeated measurements were performed in 78 individuals. The flap thickness repeatability measured 1 mm from the corneal vertex was reported to be 8.7 µm.

As a general rule, given that 99% of measurements are within 2.77 standard deviations of the mean, the precision of the measuring tool should be at least one-third of the reproducibility of the data set to justify the validity of a reproducibility study. Knowledge of the actual repeatability of a pachymetric device is critical if this tool is to be used, eg, for the assessment of flap thickness reproducibility. For instance, one published study using a Visante OCT reports a flap thickness standard deviation of 5 µm for the IntraLase based on peripheral flap thickness measurements using manual

TABLE 3
Repeatability of Central Epithelial Thickness Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>( \sigma^2 ) (µm)</th>
<th>COR (µm)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinstein (2009)</td>
<td>Artemis 1</td>
<td>VHF digital ultrasound</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values printed in light gray are derived from other reported values.

n = number of eyes included in the study, m = number of repeated measurements, \( \sigma^2 \) = standard deviation (SD) of m repeated measurements, COR = coefficient of repeatability (1.96 * SD), CV = coefficient of variation (ratio of SD over the mean of the measurements), OCT = optical coherence tomography

Artemis 1 (ArcScan Inc, Morrison, Colorado); SL-OCT (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany); Humphrey Zeiss OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany)
location of the flap interface on a B-scan image. The reported flap thickness repeatability of the Visante OCT for manual measurements is more than 8 µm and the software flap tool used to make manual measurements can only obtain measurements in screen pixel increments of 12 µm. Therefore, the precision of the Visante OCT was not sufficient to distinguish IntraLase flap thicknesses to the required level, meaning that the reported flap thickness reproducibility of 5 µm cannot be taken as a reliable assessment of IntraLase flap thickness reproducibility. Using a standard 5 cm ruler to measure the width of a human hair (approximately 0.1 mm) is a simple example that demonstrates this concept; the ruler would only be able to measure the width of the hair to the nearest 0.5 mm, so the repeatability would appear to be very high as virtually every measurement would be recorded as 0.5 mm.

Optical coherence tomography appears to be a promising technology because of the convenience of obtaining measurements without fluid immersion; however, there appear to be a few weaknesses compared with the high repeatability achieved by VHF digital ultrasound. First, it is difficult to detect the flap interface centrally with OCT because of the signal clipping (saturation) generated by the corneal reflex and the perpendicularity of the stromal lamellae. This was demonstrated by the lower repeatability reported for central flap thickness measurements with the Visante OCT compared with peri-central measurements and the fact that the repeatability at the central point location has not been reported in any study. Unfortunately, a central flap thickness measurement is the most important location as the residual stromal bed will be thinnest centrally after myopic LASIK, as the cornea is thinnest and the ablation depth is deepest centrally. Second, internal corneal interfaces such as the flap interface are less detectable by optical means than by ultrasound. A flap interface will always represent an acoustic discontinuity required for ultrasound imaging, whereas optical imaging of a flap interface is more difficult as the optical discontinuity of the flap interface diminishes significantly over time. This is understandable given that severed corneal stromal lamellae are bathed in glycosaminoglycan tissue glue, which provides refractive index homogeneity and hence minimizes light scatter at the flap interface (which is, of course, desirable as increased light scatter at the lamellar interface would result in high levels of glare following LASIK). No studies have reported the flap thickness repeatability with the Visante OCT for eyes longer than 1 month after LASIK, whereas the flap thickness repeatability of the Artemis reported in the present study is based on eyes 1 year after LASIK.

A direct comparison between ultrasound and optical is needed to settle these issues. Because optical measurement is based on refractive index assumptions, it may be possible to improve OCT accuracy by calibration with VHF digital ultrasound findings. Indeed, original prototypes of the Visante OCT were calibrated by taking a central handheld ultrasound corneal thickness measurement and using this information to calibrate the dewarping of the raw OCT scan image.

Accurate and repeatable measurements of the residual stromal thickness are important for the safe practice of LASIK retreatments as we have described previously. The calculation of the predicted residual stromal thickness is affected by errors from corneal thickness measurement, variation in ablation depth, and most importantly, variations in flap thickness. Depending on the microkeratome or femtosecond laser used to create the flap, there is a risk that the actual residual stromal thickness is thinner than predicted. Therefore, a direct measurement of the residual stromal thickness is paramount before deciding whether to proceed with retreatment. Also, due to the possibility of a non-uniform flap, the ability to map the residual stromal bed across the whole cornea provides the advantage of finding the minimum thickness of the residual stromal bed, which may not be guaranteed using intraoperative, single-point, handheld ultrasound pachymetry. The ability to measure the actual residual stromal thickness is paramount before deciding whether to proceed with retreatment. Also, due to the possibility of a non-uniform flap, the ability to map the residual stromal bed across the whole cornea provides the advantage of finding the minimum thickness of the residual stromal bed, which may not be guaranteed using intraoperative, single-point, handheld ultrasound pachymetry. The ability to measure the residual stromal thickness profile in vivo also allows the surgeon to precisely plan any retreatment surgery, which might involve irregular ablation profiles such as topography or wavefront-guided ablations; the postoperative retreatment residual stromal thickness can be calculated at the location of the deepest ablation so that the optimal treatment can be planned.

The repeatability of corneal thickness in the present study was highest centrally and progressively worse at greater radius from the corneal vertex, which is to be expected as corneal thickness increases radially, and would exaggerate any misalignment errors. In contrast, the repeatability of epithelial thickness and flap thickness was relatively homogeneous. The thickness of the epithelium and flap would be expected to be reasonably uniform across the cornea, and hence thickness measurements of the epithelium and flap will be less affected by misalignment errors. The lowest corneal thickness repeatability was found in the superior and inferior regions, most likely due to interference from the eyelid during scanning in some scan sets. The highest corneal thickness repeatability was found in the region where the data had been interpolated between the meridional scans at 45° intervals. At the scanned meridians, only one measurement is being
used (the measurement from the scan itself), whereas between meridians, an average of the values from the two neighboring meridians is used, weighted for distance from each meridian. Therefore, the interpolated measurements between meridional scans would be expected to have a higher repeatability as they are derived from a weighted average of two measurements rather than a single measurement.

Artemis VHF digital ultrasound offers high repeatability for single point and wide area layered corneal pachymetry. Repeatable measurements of the thinnest cornea and residual stromal bed are crucial for the safety of corneal refractive surgery and LASIK treatments. Repeatable measurements of the epithelium may also be useful for keratoconus screening.
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